



Current Laser Resurfacing Technologies: A Review that Delves Beneath the Surface

[Jason Preissig](#), B.A.,¹ [Kristy Hamilton](#), B.A.,¹ and [Ramsey Markus](#), M.D.¹

¹Department of Dermatology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

Address for correspondence and reprint requests Ramsey Markus, M.D. Department of Dermatology, Baylor College of Medicine, 1977 Butler Boulevard 6th Floor, Suite E6.200, Houston, Texas 77030, ; Email: rmarkus@bcm.edu

Copyright © Thieme Medical Publishers

Abstract

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

Numerous laser platforms exist that rejuvenate the skin by resurfacing its upper layers. In varying degrees, these lasers improve the appearance of lentigines and rhytides, eliminate photoaging, soften scarring due to acne and other causes, and treat dyspigmentation. Five major classes of dermatologic lasers are currently in common use: ablative and nonablative lasers in both fractionated and unfractionated forms as well as radiofrequency technologies. The gentler nonablative lasers allow for quicker healing, whereas harsher ablative lasers tend to be more effective. Fractionating either laser distributes the effect, increasing the number of treatments but minimizing downtime and complications. In this review article, the authors seek to inform surgeons about the current laser platforms available, clarify the differences between them, and thereby facilitate the identification of the most appropriate laser for their practice.

Keywords: laser, skin, resurfacing, photorejuvenation, ablation, fractional

Laser resurfacing technologies represent an exciting development in the cosmetic surgeon's repertoire to improve the tone, texture, and pigmentation of the skin. Although laser resurfacing is not a substitute for a facelift or blepharoplasty, the appropriate laser not only tightens the skin somewhat but also improves the appearance of lentigines, rhytides, skin texture, and a wide variety of scars.

There are ablative and nonablative lasers as well as fractionated and nonfractionated lasers. Nonfractionated lasers act on the entire projected surface area of the treated skin, whereas fractionated lasers target an equally distributed portion of the projected area. An easy way to understand the difference is in looking at the pixels that compose a TV image. Nonfractionated devices treat every single pixel whereas fractionated devices treat only a percentage of the pixels in the treatment area.

Ablative lasers vaporize tissue and therefore are more aggressive compared with the gentler nonablative lasers that leave the skin intact. Although ablative lasers result in far more down time and a more difficult recovery process, they remain the lasers that produce the most dramatic outcomes. For more severe facial wrinkles, dyspigmentation, and textural skin challenges, the ablative laser is often the treatment of choice.

For patients seeking more moderate improvement—without the possible side effects of ablative lasers—nonablative lasers are often ideal. These lasers leave the epidermis intact while producing rejuvenating skin effects. Depending on the technology, nonablative laser treatments may minimize the appearances of finer

wrinkles, ameliorate the texture and tone of the skin, and treat dyspigmentation. By comparison, the treatments are gentler and require little to no downtime, but produce a more moderate response.

Ultimately, a patient's needs dictate the selection of the ideal laser. In this review, we seek to demystify the differences between the many available lasers and thereby facilitate the identification of the most appropriate laser for the patient.

Ablative Nonfractionated Lasers

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

Ablative skin resurfacing removes the epidermal layer, producing the most dramatic laser-treated results for skin resurfacing. The lasers quickly superheat water molecules in the skin tissue. When the water turns into gas, the skin cells are vaporized in a precise skin-peeling effect. This effect promotes collagen formation and retraction of the dermis and epidermis to tighten the skin. These lasers were the original treatment developed for significantly improving photodamage and acne scarring and have remained the most effective treatment. The original devices had serious side-effect profiles including scarring and difficult wound healing; however, the most recent generation of ablative lasers—particularly the fractionated ablative lasers—have been able to reduce the trauma of the treatment and decrease downtime while still allowing for effective resurfacing. These lasers are much safer than earlier models, but they still retain a higher risk of potentially severe damage in the form of scarring, discoloration, and infections of the skin ([Table 1](#)).¹

Table 1 Ablative Nonfractionated Lasers		
Wavelength & Type	Manufacturer & Product	Key Features
10,600 nm CW laser	Quartermaster Medical Technologies Mach 10-40	Up to 400 ms pulse no downtime available
2940 nm CW laser	Quartermaster Medical Technologies Mach 10-40	Up to 400 ms pulse no downtime available
2940 nm CW laser	Quartermaster Medical Technologies Mach 10-40	Up to 400 ms pulse no downtime available

[Table 1](#)
Ablative Nonfractionated Lasers

Carbon Dioxide Laser

Laser skin resurfacing began with the application of the carbon dioxide (CO₂) laser to facial rejuvenation, initiating a new era in the field of photorejuvenation.² These first lasers allowed the physician more precision than was previously available with older dermabrasion and chemical peeling techniques. These first CO₂ lasers operated using a continuous wave (CW). While providing skin enhancement, the rates of side effects were high, including undesirable scarring. To increase control of how much and what type of tissue would be removed, short-pulse CO₂ lasers were developed. However, this technique was still ablative and retained a long 2-week recovery period.

The CO₂ laser emits light at the 10,600 nm wavelength. This wavelength is strongly absorbed by tissue water. When pulsed at less than 1 ms, the laser vaporizes tissue up to 20 to 30 μm per pulse. This limits the residual thermal damage (i.e., surrounding tissue heating effect) to a 100- to 150-μm layer of tissue. Small beams of 100 to 200 μm achieve rapid tissue vaporization, whereas beams larger than 2 mm induce nonvaporization and increase the risk of deep thermal damage. Concern over this possibility led to the development of high-pulsed or scanned CO₂ lasers to control the depth of ablation.

It is hypothesized that CO₂ lasers cause immediate contraction of the ablated areas by denaturing existing old collagen.³ This stimulates new collagen, and collagen content continues to increase well after the procedure. As a result, the CO₂ laser systems work best at alleviating fine wrinkles, especially around the eyes or mouth.² Deeper wrinkles and creases are less completely removed. In addition to wrinkles, CO₂ lasers are also effective at alleviating acne scars⁴ and atrophic scars.

Two main types of CO₂ lasers are in use today. The first is a high-power pulsed CO₂ laser, which operates at 1

millisecond or less (Ultrapulse). The physician can use the pulses manually at a 3-mm diameter, or they can activate the computer pattern generator. The second type uses scanning of a CW CO₂ laser (AcuPulse). Most of these scanning lasers are fractionated, a technology discussed in depth later. This second category uses computerized controls to ensure that no individual area receives treatment more than once. The scanning CO₂ lasers as well as other pulsed CO₂ lasers produce equivalent results, side effects, and histologic differences.⁵ The equivalent results were confirmed when different scanning and pulsed CO₂ laser systems were used on different parts of the same patient's face.

The major long lasting side effect of nonfractionated CO₂ lasers is permanent skin hypopigmentation, although permanent hyperpigmentation can rarely occur.⁶ Temporary hyperpigmentation is more common but transient depending on dose. The amount of hypopigmentation is related to the amount of injury supplied by the laser.⁷ On the other hand, injury also correlates with the amount of wrinkle reduction,⁸ the main goal of photorejuvenation therapy for many patients.

Using a modern CO₂ laser, such as the Coherent UltraPulse, physicians can expect an improvement of facial wrinkles by 45%.⁶ All patients suffer from oozing, bleeding, crusting, and downtime postprocedure. Side effects, such as acne, hyper-, and hypopigmentation and infection are reported by 55% of patients, with the remainder being mostly asymptomatic.⁶ Only a few cases of hypopigmentation can be expected as long-term side effects after a year.

Er:YAG Laser

The erbium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Er:YAG) laser was the next laser system to be developed. It emits light at the 2940-nm wavelength in the infrared range. This frequency is much closer to the peak absorption range of water and thus has an absorption coefficient 16 times greater than the CO₂ laser. This greater absorption decreases the penetration depth into the epidermis by a factor of ten. This is an advantage, as more precise ablation of skin is possible with even less damage to surrounding tissue.

Compared with CO₂ lasers, the Er:YAG laser has similar efficacy. However, the CO₂ laser is considered by some to be slightly superior although this is controversial.⁹ This advantage is suspected to be due to better tissue tightening with the CO₂ lasers.³ The Er:YAG laser has less severe side effects, with less postoperative edema and fewer days of crusting on the skin.¹⁰

Combined CO₂ Er:YAG

After the above two laser technologies were in use, doctors hoped to synergize the effects of the two systems. Studies have shown that using an Er:YAG laser after using a CO₂ treatment results in decreased side effects without a change in wrinkle improvement.¹¹ A combined, dual wavelength CO₂/Er:YAG laser also shows similar wrinkle improvement to CO₂ laser therapy alone.¹²

Nonablative Nonfractionated Lasers

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

Nonablative nonfractionated lasers entered the market in the late 1990s primarily for use in skin resurfacing. This class of lasers produces a gentler effect on the skin, inducing controlled tissue injury in the dermis and stimulating dermal remodeling and collagen production. The results of nonablative lasers are mild compared with their ablative counterparts, but patients seeking gradual improvement in their complexion often select this laser class because of the minimal recovery and side-effect profile. The potential damaging risks associated with nonablative lasers are significantly lower compared to ablative lasers.¹³ The major benefit for these lasers is their significant reduction in downtime after a treatment compared with CO₂/erbium lasers. Patients experience as

little as a few hours of erythema with no scaling or peeling of the skin. Four to six treatments are necessary for very moderate effects.¹⁴ Some studies also indicate that the wrinkle improvement is limited and these lasers are now more often used for acne improvement.¹⁵ Within the nonablative, nonfractionated class, lasers of ranging wavelengths offer different targeted treatment focused on textural improvements, acne treatment, and overall skin rejuvenation.¹⁶ Patients with darker skin tones are also candidates for nonablative lasers as they do not induce the abnormal pigmentation that often arises with ablative laser use on darker skin ([Table 2](#)).¹⁶

Table 2 Nonablative Nonfractionated Lasers		
Wavelength (nm)	Product	Key Features
1319	Sciton Thermascan	Large-area pattern generator (LAPG) for even energy distribution
1320	CoolTouch CT3Plus, Alma Harmony XL	Long-pulsed Nd:YAG laser for collagen stimulation
1450	Candela Smoothbeam	Diode laser for acne and scarring treatment

[Table 2](#)
Nonablative Nonfractionated Lasers

1319-nm Pulsed Energy Laser (Sciton Thermascan)

The 1319-nm pulsed energy laser resurfaces the skin to improve the appearance of wrinkles, acne and related scarring, skin tone, and texture.¹⁷ Like other nonablative lasers, the 1319-nm pulsed energy laser thermally targets the fibroblasts that reside in the dermal layer to stimulate production of collagen. The 1319-nm pulsed energy laser is a member of the mid-infrared laser class, which is effective at treating fine facial wrinkles.¹⁷ These lasers are not beneficial for treating pigment discolorations or vascular abnormalities, although they are designed to safely treat all skin types and shades.

This laser employs the large-area pattern generator (LAPG), an additional technology designed to evenly distribute the laser's path in a nonsequential fashion. In doing so, no region of skin becomes overheated, which could potentially lead to unwanted side effects. Moreover, the technology ensures that the targeted skin is treated completely and efficiently.

1320-nm Nd:YAG Laser (CoolTouch CT3Plus, Alma Harmony XL)

The long-pulsed 1320-nm Nd:YAG laser was the first nonablative laser to reach the commercial market. The 1320-nm Nd:YAG laser functions by avoiding damage to the epidermis and instead targeting the dermal layers to stimulate new collagen growth. The water in the skin absorbs the 1320-nm wavelength in particular, creating an even distribution of energy without damaging melanin or hemoglobin. As such, this laser is effective on all skin types I to VI without producing changes in pigment. This laser accelerates the productive capacity and vitality of fibroblasts in this layer as seen in its promotion of the two major secretory factors they produce: basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and inhibiting transforming growth factor β 1 (TGF- β 1).¹⁸ The laser actively reverses visible and histopathologic signs of skin aging as it stimulates collagen types I, III, and VII, and tropoelastin production.¹⁹ The laser has been noted as safe and effective in the treatment of acne and related scarring by shrinking sebaceous glands and minimizing sebum production which prevents future acne lesions.²⁰ The literature reports mixed reviews of patient satisfaction with treatments for acne and scarring resolution.^{21,22} Asian patients in particular have reported efficacy of this laser in reduction of wrinkles and acne scarring.²³

1450-nm Diode Laser (Candela Smoothbeam)

The 1450-nm diode laser is effective for the treatment of facial acne as well as for improving the appearance of scarring.²⁴ The nonablative laser has been shown to dramatically and safely improve inflammatory facial acne by partially damaging sebaceous glands to reduce sebum secretions.²⁵ The 1450-nm diode laser has demonstrated greater scar response after treatment than the nonablative 1320-nm Nd:YAG laser; this quality has been particularly helpful for patients with acne scarring.²⁶ The laser is believed to achieve these results by targeting sebaceous glands in the upper dermis while sparing the epidermis, reducing downtime.²⁷ The laser focuses on the water in the skin, which is likely why the upper dermis is heated and therapeutically damaged.²⁸

Interestingly, the 1450-nm laser appears to induce a systemic effect on the skin, as treatment on only one side of the face in one 2011 study resolved acne lesions on both sides of the face.²⁹ Unfortunately, in our experience, subsurfacing laser technology has had limited improvement of facial wrinkling. Downtime is minimal and is restricted to temporary erythema, edema, and hyperpigmentation after treatments.³⁰ This laser achieves mild to moderate improvement of acne scarring in Asian patients without producing permanent pigmentary change even in darker skin types IV and V.^{31,32}

Nonablative Fractionated Lasers

Go to: ☐ Go to: ☐

The nonablative fractionated lasers combine the best of the gentle and safe aspects of both fractionated and nonablative technologies, which entered the market in 2005. This class of lasers is aimed toward improving texture, mild to moderate wrinkles, and acne scarring as well as treating hyperpigmentation due to sun damage and aging. The neck, chest, and extremity regions are also safely and effectively responsive to these lasers. The lasers' fractional pattern assists with efficacy of the treatment as well as the safety and downtime profile.²⁰ Treatment requires a moderate amount of downtime with correspondingly moderate results. These lasers are also effective in darker-skinned individuals with less risk of discoloration as they induce limited tissue damage and melanocyte stimulation.¹⁷ Treatment can be painful, and topic anesthetics are helpful for decreasing patient discomfort ([Table 3](#)).

Table 3 Nonablative Fractionated Lasers		
Wavelength & Type	Manufacturer & Product	Key Feature
1440-nm pulsed Nd:YAG	Cynosure Affirm	1,000 mJ/cm²
1440-nm pulsed Nd:YAG	Palomar StarLux	Includes In-Cooling
1540-nm erbium fiber	Palomar StarLux 1540	Includes In-Cooling
1540-nm erbium fiber	Palomar Icon	Includes In-Cooling
1550-nm erbium glass and 1927-nm Thulium Fiber	Solta Fraxel re:store and re:store DUAL	1,000 mJ/cm², 1,927 nm, 0.5

Table 3
Nonablative Fractionated Lasers

1410-nm Laser (Solta Fraxel Re:Fine)

This 1410-nm nonablative fractional laser was designed to resurface the skin, reducing the appearance of superficial rhytides. It requires ~3 to 5 treatments to see results with a minimal downtime of 3 to 5 days. The laser is safe on Fitzpatrick skin types I to VI, making it very versatile for a broad spectrum of patients. Fraxel pioneered the concept of fractionated lasers, coining the term microscopic treatment zones (MTZs)—or columns of thermal heating one-tenth the size of a hair follicle. These MTZs are dispersed throughout the treatment region, allowing some deep penetrating treatment while maintaining a rapid recovery rate as the epidermis is not compromised.

1440 Nd:YAG Laser (Cynosure Affirm and Palomar StarLux)

The 1440-nm pulsed laser improves the appearances of rhytides by microrejuvenation, which is brought about by the induction of microcolumns of even heating.³³ The StarLux system employs an additional cooling system to improve patient comfort while allowing for a higher power treatment, increasing efficiency. The Affirm laser distinguishes itself via its combined apex pulse (CAP) technology, which evenly distributes energy across a 300-µm depth, focusing the laser on the desired dermal skin layers. This laser also utilizes a cooling system for patient comfort.

1540-nm (PalomarStarLux 1540 and Palomar Icon) and 1550-nm Erbium Glass Lasers (Solta Fraxel re:store) and the Combination of 1550-nm Erbium Glass and 1927-nm Thulium Fiber Lasers (Solta Fraxel re:store DUAL)

The 1540- and 1550-nm erbium fiber lasers and the 1927-nm thulium fiber laser are fractional lasers with ablative and nonablative capabilities that allow them to treat both epidermal and dermal skin imperfections. This laser class safely and effectively treats epidermal pigmentation, photoaging, melasma, rhytides, atrophic,

surgical, and acne-related scarring and additional textural imperfections.^{34,35,36,37,38} As with other lasers, the laser targets water in the dermis, gently heating it to cause controlled thermal tissue damage. The fractionated component of the laser allows for a spatially precise, regular pattern of columns of tissue injury to be created across the treated region, retaining the healing function of the epidermis even while targeting both skin layers. Through the fractionated treatment pattern that targets both the dermis and epidermis, these lasers provide the significant skin resurfacing capabilities of an ablative laser while retaining the downtime profile of a nonablative laser. However, because the laser can only target a fraction of the patient's skin at a time, more treatments are typically required at 2 to 4 week intervals for the best outcomes.³⁹

Ablative Fractionated Lasers

Go to: ☐ Go to: ☐

The most recent generation of ablative lasers are the fractional ablative lasers. Their use started around 2007. These lasers have been able to reduce the trauma of the treatment and decrease downtime while retaining resurfacing power. These lasers are significantly safer than their nonfractionated counterparts, but they still retain a high risk of potential damage in the form of scarring, discoloration, and skin infection.¹

The main use of these lasers is for mild skin tightening to battle laxity and rhytides. However, these lasers can also treat photodamage, atrophic acne scars, hypopigmented scars, and dyspigmentation.⁴⁰ Overall, patients can expect moderate down time and moderate risk of complications.

Ablative CO₂ Fractional Laser (Lumenis UltraPulse Encore, Fraxel re:pair)

Fractional technology was first developed in use with CO₂ lasers. Side effects are rarer than with nonfractional lasers, and only a few cases of scarring following fractional CO₂ therapy have been reported.⁴¹ The therapy may be just as effective, with one study showing 72% of volunteers having some improvement (with an average improvement of 40%), as well as 80% of volunteers reporting satisfactory reduction in visible wrinkles.⁴²

The Lumenis UltraPulse Encore, released in 1998, is an advanced fractional CO₂ laser system with three modes of delivering the laser's energy. The first, Active FX uses a 1.3-mm spot size that ablates the superficial tissue and is useful for treating fine lines, actinic keratosis, and similar diseases. The second, Deep FX focuses the lasers energy into a 0.12-mm spot size and allows for deep ablation that is useful for treating deep rhytides. This mode can ablate up to 2 mm into the tissue. The Total FX mode uses both the Active FX and Deep FX modes simultaneously and is useful for treating scars and rhytides.

The Fraxel re:pair fractional CO₂ laser system works much like the Encore's Deep FX mode. The pulse duration on this laser can range from 0.15 to 3 ms. By using a short pulse duration, the laser system can deliver more energy quicker and ablate deeper. By combining its small 0.14-mm spot sizes and a short 0.15-ms duration, this laser ablates to depths of 1.6 mm. Other lasers in the Ablative CO₂ laser category do not have deep ablation like the Deep FX mode of the Encore and the Fraxel re:pair (see [Table 4](#)).

Table 4 Ablative Fractionated Lasers		
Manufacturer & Product	Spot Size	Pulse Duration
Lumenis UltraPulse Encore	1.3 mm (Active FX)	100 to 300 ms (Active FX)
Lumenis UltraPulse Encore	0.12 mm (Deep FX)	100 to 300 ms (Deep FX)
Lumenis UltraPulse Encore	1.3 mm (Total FX)	100 to 300 ms (Total FX)
Fraxel re:pair	0.14 mm	0.15 to 3 ms

[Table 4](#)
Ablative Fractionated Lasers

Ablative Er:YAG Fractional Laser

Fractional technology can be applied to Er:YAG lasers much in the same way that it was developed for CO₂ lasers. Similar to the comparison between nonfractionated CO₂ and Er:YAG lasers, the fractionated versions of these two laser types have similar postoperative and comparable cosmetic improvement.⁴⁰

Radiofrequency (RF) systems are unique in that they are thermal heating systems, working more like microwaves rather than lasers. Current radiofrequency resurfacing systems are nonablative. They have the advantage of having a higher penetration depth, while aiming for collagen shrinkage and skin tightening. Another advantage is their relatively low operating temperature, as only the deeper tissue is heated. The RF largely passes through the skin surface, sparing it from heating ([Table 5](#)).

Table 5 Radiofrequency Systems		
Wavelength & Type	Manufacturer & Product	Key Feature
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox	1540-1545 nm
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse
1540 nm CO ₂ laser, RF	Sciton Aesthetics Equinox Pro	75 ms per pulse

Table 5
Radiofrequency Systems

Much like the laser systems, the RF systems achieve results by denaturing existing collagen and stimulating production of newer and shorter collagen, leading to lasting tissue tightening.⁴³ However, it has the added property of being able to heat the subcutaneous fat as well, leading to undesired visible fat reduction in some cases.¹⁸

This modality is presented here because this electrical energy is often combined with other modalities to achieve a synergistic effect. For example, radiofrequency has been combined with diode systems (Polaris WR) to achieve both clinical results by an impartial viewer and with patient satisfaction.⁴⁴ Of the 20 patients in this trial, none had any pigment changes or scarring; however, most suffered pain and all had erythema, while 80% had edema for 24 hours. Another study combined the three main nonablative modalities (IR, RF, and IPL), showing a 26% improvement on average and a 71% patient satisfaction.⁴⁵

Conclusion

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

After review of these laser resurfacing technologies, clear trends arise. Most of the ablative technologies offer greater results, at the cost of longer recovery times and potentially more severe side effects. On the other hand, nonablative technologies usually offer more moderate results with fewer side effects and an easier recovery. Fractionated technologies seem to combine some of the best aspects of each category, with shorter recovery times, but results approaching those of fully ablative technologies with a series of treatments. Overall, this wide selection of technologies allows the physician options to provide the appropriate care for their patients.

Acknowledgments

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

No financial support was received during the completion of this article.

Jason Preissig and Kristy Hamilton contributed equally to this work.

References

Go to: ☒ Go to: ☒

1. Chwalek J, Goldberg D J. Ablative skin resurfacing. Curr Probl Dermatol. 2011;42:40–47. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Alexiades-Armenakas M R Dover J S Arndt K A The spectrum of laser skin resurfacing: nonablative, fractional, and ablative laser resurfacing J Am Acad Dermatol 2008585719–737.737, quiz 738–740 [[PubMed](#)]
3. Ross E V, McKinlay J R, Anderson R R. Why does carbon dioxide resurfacing work? A review. Arch Dermatol. 1999;135(4):444–454. [[PubMed](#)]
4. Alster T S West T B Resurfacing of atrophic facial acne scars with a high-energy, pulsed carbon dioxide laser Dermatol Surg 1996222151–154.154, discussion 154–155 [[PubMed](#)]

5. Alster T S, Nanni C A, Williams C M. Comparison of four carbon dioxide resurfacing lasers. *Dermatol Surg*. 1999;25(3):153–158, commentary 159. [[PubMed](#)]
6. Ward P D Baker S R Long-term results of carbon dioxide laser resurfacing of the face *Arch Facial Plast Surg* 2008;104:238–243.243, discussion 244–245 [[PubMed](#)]
7. Alster T S Lupton J R Prevention and treatment of side effects and complications of cutaneous laser resurfacing *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2002;109:1308–316.316, discussion 317–318 [[PubMed](#)]
8. Manuskiatti W, Fitzpatrick R E, Goldman M P. Long-term effectiveness and side effects of carbon dioxide laser resurfacing for photoaged facial skin. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1999;40(3):401–411. [[PubMed](#)]
9. Ross E V, Miller C, Meehan K. et al. One-pass CO2 versus multiple-pass Er:YAG laser resurfacing in the treatment of rhytides: a comparison side-by-side study of pulsed CO2 and Er:YAG lasers. *Dermatol Surg*. 2001;27(8):709–715. [[PubMed](#)]
10. Newman J B, Lord J L, Ash K, McDaniel D H. Variable pulse erbium:YAG laser skin resurfacing of perioral rhytides and side-by-side comparison with carbon dioxide laser. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2000;26(2):208–214. [[PubMed](#)]
11. Goldman M P, Manuskiatti W. Combined laser resurfacing with the 950-microsec pulsed CO2 + Er:YAG lasers. *Dermatol Surg*. 1999;25(3):160–163. [[PubMed](#)]
12. Goldman M P, Marchell N, Fitzpatrick R E. Laser skin resurfacing of the face with a combined CO2/Er:YAG laser. *Dermatol Surg*. 2000;26(2):102–104. [[PubMed](#)]
13. Ciocon D H, Doshi D, Goldberg D J. Non-ablative lasers. *Curr Probl Dermatol*. 2011;42:48–55. [[PubMed](#)]
14. Hantash B M, Gladstone H B. Current role of resurfacing lasers. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol*. 2009;144(3):229–241. [[PubMed](#)]
15. Jih M H, Friedman P M, Goldberg L H, Robles M, Glaich A S, Kimyai-Asadi A. The 1450-nm diode laser for facial inflammatory acne vulgaris: dose-response and 12-month follow-up study. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2006;55(1):80–87. [[PubMed](#)]
16. DeHoratius D M, Dover J S. Nonablative tissue remodeling and photorejuvenation. *Clin Dermatol*. 2007;25(5):474–479. [[PubMed](#)]
17. Bogle M A. Fractionated mid-infrared resurfacing. *Semin Cutan Med Surg*. 2008;27(4):252–258. [[PubMed](#)]
18. Zhenxiao Z, Aie X, Yuzhi J. et al. Exploring the role of a nonablative laser (1320 nm cooltouch laser) in skin photorejuvenation. *Skin Res Technol*. 2011;17(4):505–509. [[PubMed](#)]
19. El-Domyati M, El-Ammawi T S, Medhat W, Moawad O, Mahoney M G, Uitto J. Effects of the Nd:YAG 1320-nm laser on skin rejuvenation: clinical and histological correlations. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. 2011;13(3):98–106. [[PubMed](#)]
20. Yaghmai D, Garden J M, Bakus A D, Massa M C. Comparison of a 1,064 nm laser and a 1,320 nm laser for the nonablative treatment of acne scars. *Dermatol Surg*. 2005;31(8 Pt 1):903–909. [[PubMed](#)]
21. Orringer J S, Kang S, Maier L. et al. A randomized, controlled, split-face clinical trial of 1320-nm Nd:YAG laser therapy in the treatment of acne vulgaris. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2007;56(3):432–438. [[PubMed](#)]
22. Bhatia A C, Dover J S, Arndt K A, Stewart B, Alam M. Patient satisfaction and reported long-term

therapeutic efficacy associated with 1,320 nm Nd:YAG laser treatment of acne scarring and photoaging.

Dermatol Surg. 2006;32(3):346–352. [[PubMed](#)]

23. Chan H H, Lam L K, Wong D S, Kono T, Trendell-Smith N. Use of 1,320 nm Nd:YAG laser for wrinkle reduction and the treatment of atrophic acne scarring in Asians. Lasers Surg Med. 2004;34(2):98–103. [[PubMed](#)]
24. Wada T, Kawada A, Hirao A, Sasaya H, Oiso N. Efficacy and safety of a low-energy double-pass 1450-nm diode laser for the treatment of acne scars. Photomed Laser Surg. 2012;30(2):107–111. [[PubMed](#)]
25. Friedman P M, Jih M H, Kimyai-Asadi A, Goldberg L H. Treatment of inflammatory facial acne vulgaris with the 1450-nm diode laser: a pilot study. Dermatol Surg. 2004;30(2 Pt 1):147–151. [[PubMed](#)]
26. Tanzi E L, Alster T S. Comparison of a 1450-nm diode laser and a 1320-nm Nd:YAG laser in the treatment of atrophic facial scars: a prospective clinical and histologic study. Dermatol Surg. 2004;30(2 Pt 1):152–157. [[PubMed](#)]
27. Paithankar D Y, Ross E V, Saleh B A, Blair M A, Graham B S. Acne treatment with a 1,450 nm wavelength laser and cryogen spray cooling. Lasers Surg Med. 2002;31(2):106–114. [[PubMed](#)]
28. No D, McClaren M, Chotzen V, Kilmer S L. Sebaceous hyperplasia treated with a 1450-nm diode laser. Dermatol Surg. 2004;30(3):382–384. [[PubMed](#)]
29. Darné S, Hiscutt E L, Seukeran D C. Evaluation of the clinical efficacy of the 1,450 nm laser in acne vulgaris: a randomized split-face, investigator-blinded clinical trial. Br J Dermatol. 2011;165(6):1256–1262. [[PubMed](#)]
30. Tanzi E L, Williams C M, Alster T S. Treatment of facial rhytides with a nonablative 1,450-nm diode laser: a controlled clinical and histologic study. Dermatol Surg. 2003;29(2):124–128. [[PubMed](#)]
31. Noborio R, Nishida E, Morita A. Clinical effect of low-energy double-pass 1450 nm laser treatment for acne in Asians. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed. 2009;25(1):3–7. [[PubMed](#)]
32. Chua S H, Ang P, Khoo L S, Goh C L. Nonablative 1450-nm diode laser in the treatment of facial atrophic acne scars in type IV to V Asian skin: a prospective clinical study. Dermatol Surg. 2004;30(10):1287–1291. [[PubMed](#)]
33. Weiss R A, Gold M, Bene N. et al. Prospective clinical evaluation of 1440-nm laser delivered by microarray for treatment of photoaging and scars. J Drugs Dermatol. 2006;5(8):740–744. [[PubMed](#)]
34. Polder K D, Bruce S. Treatment of melasma using a novel 1,927-nm fractional thulium fiber laser: a pilot study. Dermatol Surg. 2012;38(2):199–206. [[PubMed](#)]
35. Polder K D, Harrison A, Eubanks L E, Bruce S. 1,927-nm fractional thulium fiber laser for the treatment of nonfacial photodamage: a pilot study. Dermatol Surg. 2011;37(3):342–348. [[PubMed](#)]
36. Alster T S, Tanzi E L, Lazarus M. The use of fractional laser photothermolysis for the treatment of atrophic scars. Dermatol Surg. 2007;33(3):295–299. [[PubMed](#)]
37. Rahman Z, Alam M, Dover J S. Fractional laser treatment for pigmentation and texture improvement. Skin Therapy Lett. 2006;11(9):7–11. [[PubMed](#)]
38. Wanner M, Tanzi E L, Alster T S. Fractional photothermolysis: treatment of facial and nonfacial cutaneous photodamage with a 1,550-nm erbium-doped fiber laser. Dermatol Surg. 2007;33(1):23–28. [[PubMed](#)]

39. Tanzi E L, Wanitphakdeedecha R, Alster T S. Fraxel laser indications and long-term follow-up. *Aesthet Surg J*. 2008;28(6):675–678.678, discussion 679–680 [[PubMed](#)]
40. Karsai S, Czarnecka A, Jünger M, Raulin C. Ablative fractional lasers (CO(2) and Er:YAG): a randomized controlled double-blind split-face trial of the treatment of peri-orbital rhytides. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2010;42(2):160–167. [[PubMed](#)]
41. Fife D J, Fitzpatrick R E, Zachary C B. Complications of fractional CO2 laser resurfacing: four cases. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2009;41(3):179–184. [[PubMed](#)]
42. Christiansen K, Bjerring P. Low density, non-ablative fractional CO2 laser rejuvenation. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2008;40(7):454–460. [[PubMed](#)]
43. Kaplan H, Gat A. Clinical and histopathological results following TriPollar radiofrequency skin treatments. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. 2009;11(2):78–84. [[PubMed](#)]
44. Doshi S N, Alster T S. Combination radiofrequency and diode laser for treatment of facial rhytides and skin laxity. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. 2005;7(1):11–15. [[PubMed](#)]
45. Alexiades-Armenakas M R. Rhytides, laxity, and photoaging treated with a combination of radiofrequency, diode laser, and pulsed light and assessed with a comprehensive grading scale. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2006;5(8):731–738. [[PubMed](#)]